Equality Impact Assessment (EqIA)

Deciding what needs to be assessed

In theory all policies, decisions, services, projects and programmes should be impact assessed. The most practical approach is to assess as the proposal is being developed or as processes, services and policies come up for review making the EQIA part of the development process. Do not be put off by the list below, it does not mean that long and detailed assessments are required every time you are engaged in one of the activities. However, it does mean that you should always consider the equalities implications of your proposals.

Policy

- New policy development
- Substantial revision of an existing policy or process
- Any change which may have a disproportionate impact on a particular group

Decision

- Key decision
- Decision for management board/cabinet
- Budget change decision

Service

- New service
- Service review, including the decommissioning of services
- Any service change which may have a disproportionate impact on a particular group

Projects and programmes

• All, at planning stage

Further information: Equality Impact Assessments - a user's guide

Section one

No.	Question	Response	
1.1	Name of policy/decision/service/ project/programme being assessed	At Our Best Strategy and Delivery Programme	
1.2	Summary of aims and objectives of the policy/decision/service/ project/programme	The At Our Best People Strategy sets the direction for workforce and culture priorities for 2025–2029. It aims to ensure that Swindon Borough Council (SBC) has an inclusive, engaged, skilled and sustainable workforce to deliver on the ambitions of the Swindon Plan and support high-quality, preventative, resident-focused services. It establishes five strategic priorities: • United in Our Purpose • Performing with Purpose • Developing Future Skills and Careers • Equipping Teams for Today and Tomorrow • A Positive Colleague Experience at the Heart It is underpinned by The Swindon Commitment — shared values and behaviours (We See, We Hear, We Care, We Act, We Learn) that guide how colleagues work together and serve residents.	
1.3	Who is affected by the policy/decision/service/ project/programme? (For example, employees/service users/supplier/contractor)	 All SBC colleagues and managers (approx. 2,600 people) Current and future job applicants Contractors, agency and partner staff working alongside SBC Indirectly, residents and communities who benefit from improved services 	

1.4	What involvement and consultation has been done in relation to this proposal? (For example, with relevant groups and stakeholders)	 The strategy was shaped through extensive engagement: Colleague workshops, surveys and focus groups across all service areas Input from leadership and managers Review of workforce and equality data, PDR and learning completion rates Feedback from staff networks and exit interviews Reference to local and national workforce challenges, including recruitment and retention trends
1.5	What are the arrangements for monitoring and reviewing the actual impact of the policy/funding activity/event?	 Progress will be tracked through: Colleague survey results (engagement, inclusion, wellbeing) Workforce and equality data (recruitment, progression, pay, turnover) Leadership indicators and PDR completion rates Delivery tracking through the At Our Best Programme and transformation governance structures

Section two – protected characteristics

Protected characteristic group	Is there a potential for positive or negative impact? Is the impact neutral?	Please explain and give examples of any evidence/data used	Action to address negative impact (for example, adjustment to the proposal)
Disability	positive	Focus on inclusive	Regular review of
		recruitment,	adjustments, inclusive
		workplace	design of digital
		adjustments,	learning, continued
		wellbeing and	engagement with
		accessible learning	Disability Confident
		opportunities.	scheme.
Gender reassignment	positive	Commitment to	Ensure HR policy
		respect, inclusion and	refresh includes
		psychological safety	gender identity
		through The Swindon	guidance and inclusive
		Commitment	language

Marriage or civil	neutral	No identified	Continue to apply
partnership		disproportionate	equitable
		impact	employment policies.
Pregnancy and	positive	Enhanced wellbeing	Maintain strong
maternity		focus	maternity/paternity
,			support and flexible
			working options
Race	positive	Strategy promotes	Use data to monitor
		workforce diversity	representation and
		and inclusion	progression; engage
			diverse networks in
			recruitment
			campaigns.
Religion or belief	positive	Inclusive culture and	Continue awareness
		respect for difference	training and inclusive
		embedded in The	workplace practices.
		Swindon Commitment	
Sexual orientation	Positive	Explicit inclusion and	Engage with LGBTQ+
		respect within the	community within
		values and culture	Swindon BC to
		pillars	monitor lived
			experience
Sex (gender)	Positive	Focus on fairness,	Monitor pay and
		inclusion and	progression data by
		equitable progression	gender; take targeted
		opportunities	action if gaps emerge
Age	Positive	Focus on	Strengthen
		intergenerational	apprenticeships,
		knowledge transfer	mentoring and
		and early careers	succession planning
Children in care and		Corporate	Continue to develop
care leavers		commitment to	targeted entry routes
		support care leavers	and mentoring
		through employment	support
		pathways.	

Section three – evaluation

No.	Question	Explanation/justification
-----	----------	---------------------------

3.1	Is it possible the proposed policy or activity or change in policy or activity could discriminate or	No. The strategy is designed to improve fairness, inclusion and equality of opportunity. However, as
	unfairly disadvantage people?	workforce change and reprioritisation occur, SBC will need to monitor for any unintended impacts on underrepresented groups and ensure equitable access to development and career opportunities

No.	Final Decision	Tick the relevant	Include any explanation / justification required
		box	•
1	No barriers identified, therefore activity will proceed	✓	
2	Stop at some point because the data shows bias towards one or more groups		
3	Adapt or change the event in a way which you think will eliminate the bias		
4	Barriers and impact have been identified, however having considered all available options carefully, there appear to be no other proportionate ways to achieve the aim of the policy or practice (for example, in extreme cases or where positive action is taken). Therefore, proceed with caution with this knowing that it may favour some people less than others, providing justification for this decision		

Section four – record keeping

Question	Response
----------	----------

Will this EqIA be published* (*EqIA's should be published alongside relevant event paperwork including cabinet papers):	Yes
Date completed	
Review date (if applicable)	

Change log

Name	Date	Version	Change made
Alex Holly, Director of HR and OD		V1	

Responsibilities

Question	Response	Date completed
Name of person leading this EqIA	Alex Holly	

Question	Response
Names and roles of people	
involved in the consideration	
of impact	

Question	Response	Date signed
Name of Director signing EqIA	Alex Holly	