Equality Impact Assessment (EqIA)

Deciding what needs to be assessed

In theory all policies, decisions, services, projects and programmes should be impact assessed. The most practical approach is to assess as the proposal is being developed or as processes, services and policies come up for review making the EQIA part of the development process. Do not be put off by the list below, it does not mean that long and detailed assessments are required every time you are engaged in one of the activities. However, it does mean that you should always consider the equalities implications of your proposals.

Policy

- New policy development
- Substantial revision of an existing policy or process
- Any change which may have a disproportionate impact on a particular group

Decision

- Key decision
- Decision for management board/cabinet
- Budget change decision

Service

- New service
- Service review, including the decommissioning of services
- Any service change which may have a disproportionate impact on a particular group

Projects and programmes

• All, at planning stage

Further information: Equality Impact Assessments - a user's guide

Section one

No.	Question	Response
1.1	Name of policy/decision/service/ project/programme being assessed	Introduction of revised speed limits at Shrivenham Road, Highworth as a result of new housing development.
1.2	Summary of aims and objectives of the policy/decision/service/ project/programme	The introduction of this Traffic Regulation Order is a result of the recent construction of the new access road to a housing development for which an amended speed limit on Shrivenham Road was agreed at planning stage. The proposed speed restrictions are intended to promote the correct speed transitions for vehicles in advance of the new development access on Shrivenham Road, Highworth and promote safe speeds where there are likely to be additional pedestrian and vehicle movements. This involves a Traffic Regulation Order being introduced through a statutory process which includes a right to object. Those objections are considered and dealt with through the Cabinet Member Decision Note process as required in the Council Constitution.
1.3	Who is affected by the policy/decision/service/ project/programme? (For example, employees/service users/supplier/contractor)	All users of the highway in the area for inclusion in the new speed limits – pedestrians of all types, cyclists and car users/drivers who travel along the road.

1.4	What involvement and consultation has been done in relation to this proposal? (For example, with relevant groups and stakeholders)	The original planning application for the new housing development has been consulted and approved through the statutory planning process – including the access arrangements at the new junction. The Traffic Regulation process has included informal consultation with local stakeholders followed by a statutory consultation on the proposed Traffic Regulation Order as required by the regulations.
1.5	What are the arrangements for monitoring and reviewing the actual impact of the policy/funding activity/event?	The new speed limits will be monitored through traffic surveys 6 months after implementation to assess levels of compliance with the new speed limits – and consider any additional measures required.

Section two – protected characteristics

Protected characteristic group	Is there a potential for positive or negative impact? Is the impact neutral?	Please explain and give examples of any evidence/data used	Action to address negative impact (for example, adjustment to the proposal)
Disability	Impact will be neutral	This project will not	No negative impact
		affect road users	expected
		within this Protected	
		Characteristic Group.	
Gender reassignment	Impact will be neutral	This project will not	No negative impact
		affect road users	expected
		within this Protected	
		Characteristic Group.	
Marriage or civil	Impact will be neutral	This project will not	No negative impact
partnership		affect road users	expected
		within this Protected	
		Characteristic Group.	
Pregnancy and	Impact will be neutral	This project will not	No negative impact
maternity		affect road users	expected
		within this Protected	
		Characteristic Group.	

Race	Impact will be neutral	This project will not	No negative impact
		affect road users	expected
		within this Protected	
		Characteristic Group.	
Religion or belief	Impact will be neutral	This project will not	No negative impact
		affect road users	expected
		within this Protected	
		Characteristic Group.	
Sexual orientation	Impact will be neutral	This project will not	No negative impact
		affect road users	expected
		within this Protected	
		Characteristic Group.	
Sex (gender)	Impact will be neutral	This project will not	No negative impact
		affect road users	expected
		within this Protected	
		Characteristic Group.	
Age	Impact will be positive	Children and frail	No negative impact
		older pedestrians will	expected
		benefit from lower	
		traffic speeds as they	
		are vulnerable road	
		users – more likely to	
		be the victims of road	
		traffic collisions.	
		Slower speeds reduce	
		the severity of	
		collision impacts.	
Children in care and	Impact will be neutral	This project will not	No negative impact
care leavers		affect road users	expected
		within this Protected	
		Characteristic Group.	

Section three – evaluation

No.	Question	Explanation/justification
-----	----------	---------------------------

3.1	Is it possible the proposed policy or activity or change in policy or activity could discriminate or unfairly disadvantage people?	No evidence that this would happen as a result of amending vehicle speed limit on this section of highway.

Final Decision	Tick the	Include any explanation / justification
	relevant	required
	box	
No barriers identified, therefore	Х	In general terms access to private cars
activity will proceed		is lower than average in a number of
		the equality groups and there is
		therefore a greater reliance on
		walking and cycling for essential
		journeys. Road safety for these
		vulnerable road users is therefore a
		higher issue of concern in those
		equality groups.
		A number of equality groups have
		been identified with poorer health
		outcomes (including obesity) than
		average and access to active travel
		modes (such as walking and cycling)
		without fear for safety concerns,
		would have wider benefits.
		By reducing collision severity and
		reducing fear of collisions reduced
		speeds likely to be positive for groups
		that might be more likely to be
		walking or cycling rather than
		travelling by car. No negative impacts
		identified.
Stop at some point because the data		
shows bias towards one or more		
groups		
	No barriers identified, therefore activity will proceed Stop at some point because the data shows bias towards one or more	No barriers identified, therefore activity will proceed X Stop at some point because the data shows bias towards one or more

3	Adapt or change the event in a way which you think will eliminate the bias	
4	Barriers and impact have been identified, however having considered all available options carefully, there appear to be no other proportionate ways to achieve the aim of the policy or practice (for example, in extreme cases or where positive action is taken). Therefore, proceed with caution with this knowing that it may favour some people less than others, providing justification for this decision	

Section four – record keeping

Question	Response
Will this EqIA be published* (*EqIA's should be published alongside relevant event paperwork including cabinet papers):	Yes
Date completed	8 November 2024
Review date (if applicable)	

Change log

Name	Date	Version	Change made

Responsibilities

Question	Response	Date completed
Name of person leading this EqIA	Philip Martlew	08/11/2024

Question	Response
Names and roles of people	Julie Furneval, Senior Traffic Engineer
involved in the consideration	
of impact	

Question	Response	Date signed
Name of Director signing EqIA	Steve Iles	09/11/2024